

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Eugene Paul Harrison,)	C.A. #2:08-3874-PMD
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	<u>ORDER</u>
)	
Mr. John C. Darby, Owner and Ms.)	
Dawn Brown - Site Property Manager,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

This case is before the Court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that plaintiff's motion for summary judgment be denied, and defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted. The record includes a report and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge made in accordance with this Court's Order of Reference and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and (B).

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).¹ Plaintiff filed timely

¹In Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985), the court held "that a pro se litigant must receive fair notification of the consequences of failure to object to a magistrate judge's report before such a procedural default will result in waiver of the right to appeal. The notice must be sufficiently understandable to one in appellant's circumstances fairly to appraise him of what is required." Id. at 846. Plaintiff was advised in a clear manner that his objections

objections to the magistrate judge's report on April 6, 2009.

A review of the plaintiff's objections and the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's report is incorporated into this order.

Plaintiff's objections fail to directly address the magistrate judge's report, and instead continue to reiterate his original complaint, and further states, "[M]y 'objection' your honor to this case based on the judgment you decided was not pleasing." Any written objection must specifically identify the portions of the report and recommendation to which objections are made and the basis for such objections. 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1). Generally stated, nonspecific objections have the same effect as would a failure to object. Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505, 509 (6th Cir. 1991).

Accordingly, this court agrees with the magistrate judge, and

IT IS HEREWITH ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for summary judgment is **DENIED**, and defendants' motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED**, and this case is dismissed with prejudice.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.


 PATRICK MICHAEL DUFFY
 United States District Judge

April 7, 2009
 Charleston, South Carolina

had to be filed within ten (10) days, and he received notice of the consequences at the appellate level of his failure to object to the magistrate judge's report

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this Order within **thirty (30) days** from the date hereof pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.